PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

13 FEB 2003

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: LANGFELDT, Jens F.C. P.O. Box 2003, Vika		PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY			
NO-0125 Oslo Norway		EXAMINING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 66)			
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	1 0 -02- 2006		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE	within 60 days from		
E38610 JFL/JOB			the above date of mailing		
International application No. International filing date		(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/NO2005/000083 07-03-2005		: 	11-03-2004		
International Patent Classification (IPC) o	r both national classificati	on and IPC			
See Supplemental Box					
Applicant TOMRA SYSTEMS ASA et al					
1. The written opinion established by the International Searching Authority: X is					
Box No. II Priority	Box No. II Priority				
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of unity	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned sta	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain docum					
Box No. VII Certain defec	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.					
When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(e).					
How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.					
Also For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.					
If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.					
4. The final date by which the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II of the PCT) must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 11-07-2006					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/SE Authorized officer					
Patent- och registreringsverket					

Mimmi Westman/MP Telephone No. 46 8 782 25 00

Box 5055

S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

International application No.

PCT/NO2005/000083

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Cover sheet

INTERNATIONAL PATENT CLASSIFICATION (IPC):

B65G 47/40 (2006.01) B07C 5/34 (2006.01)

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Supplemental Box) (April 2005)

International application No.

PCT/NO2005/000083

Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion	the state of the s			
1.	With re	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
		the international application in the language in which it was filed				
		a translation of the international application into which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:	<u> </u>			
		international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))				
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4(a))				
		international preliminary examination (Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))				
2.	which	egard to the elements of the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of (replacement sheets have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as hally filed."):				
	Ц	the international application as originally filed/furnished				
	\boxtimes	the description:	. 11 (21 1/6 11 1			
		pages 1-8 pages received by this Authority on				
		pages received by this Authority on received by this Authority on received by this Authority on				
	\square	the claims:				
		pages	as originally filed/furnished			
			n any statement) under Article 19			
		pages 1-5 received by this Authority on 05	5-10-2005			
		pages received by this Authority on	 			
	\boxtimes	the drawings:				
			as originally filed/furnished			
		pages received by this Authority on received by this Authority on				
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Seque	aice Listing.			
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description, pages	and the state of t			
		the claims, Nos.	······································			
		the drawings, sheets/figs	ym;			
		the sequence listing (specify):				
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
4.		This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	since they have been considered to			
		the description, pages	<u> </u>			
		the claims, Nos.				
		the drawings, sheets/figs				
		the sequence listing (specify):				
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):				

International application No.

PCT/NO2005/000083

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims Claims	1, 9			
Inventive step (IS)	Claims Claims	1-7. 9-12. 14-18			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims Claims				
2. Citations and explanations: Reference is made to the following document/documents: D1: EP 0 212 858 A1 D2: DE 43 29 193 A1 D3: US 5 628 08 A D4: JP 7-185 476 A D5: JP 10-000 434 A					
The application pertains to a method and a device for individually transporting articles of different type, size, weight, material or shape, to one delivery location of a plurality of delivery locations that is designated for the respective article. This is achieved using an endless bucket conveyer where articles are placed one by one in the buckets and thus transferred to its designated unloading station. Prior to being placed in the bucket the article is identified at least as regards its material type.					

D2 shows a method for individually transporting articles of different material to one delivery location of a plurality of delivery locations that is designated for the respective article. The method comprises the steps of identifying each placing its type material, regards of article as identified articles one by one in a respective one of a plurality of transport containers to yield only one article per container, and causing the respective article at it its designated delivery location to be discharged from transport container to a collecting or storage bin dedicated to the article.

. . . / . . .

International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

PCT/NO2005/000083

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: $Box\ V$

The discharge of the article from the container is made with the aid of a separate, controlled actuating means. The identified articles are empty bottles. Subject matter claimed in claims 1 and 9 do not differ from what is shown in D2 and the claims therefore lack novelty.

D1 is considered to be the closest prior art. It shows a device and method for individually transporting articles to different unloading stations. This is done by placing the articles in respective transport containers and causing a respective article at a desired, respective delivery location to be transferred from its transport container to a collecting or storage bin, disintegrator or further conveyor dedicated to the article. The transport container at the designated delivery location is caused to discharge the article from the container under the effect gravity (abstract and column 2 lines 41-47).

D1 differs from what is claimed in claim 1 in that the articles are not expressly identified prior to being put into the container and there is no explicit restriction to one article in each container.

The articles must obviously be identified if they are to be discharged at the correct unloading station. The device and method described in D1 is not limited to a specific field of It can however be used in an application requiring (see column 2 lines several unloading stations Identifying articles on a conveyor is widely known in the art The skilled person example in D2-D5. understands that some sort of identification is necessary in order to make use of several unloading stations. He is free to choose which criteria to use for this identification as well as the technical equipment most suited. To identify transported articles is therefore not material of the considered inventive.

To place articles individually in transport containers is known in the art, e.g. in D2. Since the use of the conveyor in D1 is not specified the skilled person may well use it for empty bottles as is shown in D2.

Claim 1 consequently lacks inventive step.

.../...

International application No.

PCT/NO2005/000083

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Box V

Claim 10 is rejected on the same ground as claim 1.

The remaining claims are considered to involve particular detail executions obvious to a person skilled in the art. Therefore, the invention according to these claims is not considered to involve an inventive step.

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Supplemental Box) (April 2005)

International application No.

PCT/NO2005/000083

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 7 mention the movement along a circular path. No circular path has been mentioned in any previous claim. The claim is hence ambiguous.

Claim 5 does not contribute any features to the invention beyond what has already been described in claims 3 and 4.

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box No. VIII) (April 2005)